

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1	١
r	1
1	1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,834	01/24/2001	Greg Arnold	PALM-3561.US.P	PALM-3561.US.P 5518	
49637 75	590 07/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SSOCIATES P.C.		LUU, LI	E HIEN	
9255 SUNSET SUITE 810	BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90069		2141		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/				
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/769,83	4	ARNOLD, GREG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Le H. Luu		2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatif - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve on. In a reply within the statuperiod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be til tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03/30/05 - 06/06	<u>/05</u> .		
	This action is no			
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except t	or formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		sideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	quirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>04/02/01</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or I	o) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t			* *	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			-	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been	received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the		= = =		
application from the International B	•	` ''		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	8 \	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summar	y Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050719	

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

2. New corrected drawings are required in this application because some drawings

Page 2

are informal, illegible, poor quality for publication. Applicant is advised to employ the

services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are

required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The

requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

•

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by

the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act

of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 2141

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 12-14, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by L'Heureux et al. (L'Heureux) patent no. 6,697,942.
- 5. As to claims 1 and 10, L'Heureux teaches a method of using an email message to control a computer resource, comprising:

receiving an email message from a sender (col. 2, lines 52-67);

recognizing a reserved command word within the email message (Figs 5-9; col. 9 line 4 – col. 10 line 9);

interpreting the email message as a command to be carried out on an available computer resource based on the reserved command word (Figs 5-9; col. 9 line 4 – col. 10 line 9); and

generating a command for execution on the available computer resource (Figs 5-9; col. 9 line 4 – col. 10 line 9).

6. As to claim 2, L'Heureux further teaches receiving a result from the available computer resource; and sending a reply email message communicating the result to the sender (col. 8, line 42- col 9 line 3).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

- 7. As to claim 3, L'Heureux teaches the computer resource comprises a computer database, the command comprises a database query and wherein the result comprises the result of the database query (Figs 8-9, col. 8 line 51 col. 9 line 9).
- 8. As to claim 4, L'Heureux teaches the resource comprises a computer database and the command comprises a database query (Figs 8-9, col. 8 line 51 col. 9 line 9).
- 9. As to claim 6, L'Heureux teaches parsing the email message into parts defining the computer resource and the command (Figs 8-9, col. 8 line 51 col. 9 line 9).
- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 5, 7, 11, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over L'Heureux et al. (L'Heureux) patent no. 6,697,942, in view of Ono patent no. 6,742,024.
- 12. As to claim 5, L'Heureux teaches the invention substantially as discussed above. However, L'Heureux does not explicitly teach the reserved command word comprising a part of a subject portion of the email message. One teaches sending commands in

Art Unit: 2141

subject field of an electronic mail (Figs 3, 6; col. 2 lines 16-65; col. 4 line 41 - col. 6 line

Page 5

44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing Art at

the time of the invention to combine the teachings of L'Heureux and Ono to provide the

reserved command word in the subject field of an electronic mail because it would

provide additional functionality to email user to control other computers using email.

13. As to claim 7, Ono teaches the sending of the email message from the sender

originates at a palmtop computer (Abstract, col. 5 lines 24-34).

14. Claims 8-9 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over L'Heureux et al. (L'Heureux) patent no. 6,697,942.

15. As to claims 8-9, L'Heureux teaches the invention substantially as discussed

above. However, L'Heureux does not explicitly teach a firewall. Official Notice is taken

that firewall is well-known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Data Processing Art at the time of the invention to combine the well-known teaching

with L'Heureux's teaching to provide a firewall to protect program processor and server

because it would provide prevent unauthorized intruder from accessing the network.

16. Claims 11-20 have similar limitations as claims 1-10; therefore, they are rejected

under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2141

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

July 19, 2005